MILITARY DEPARTMENT

OFFICE OF THE ADJUTANT GENERAL 9800 Goethe Road Sacramento, California 95827-3561



July 5, 2022

Office of the Military Department Inspector General

Honorable Toni G. Atkins, President pro Tempore of the Senate

Honorable Anthony Rendon, Speaker of the Assembly

Honorable Bob Archuleta, Chair, Senate Military and Veterans Affairs Committee

Honorable James Ramos, Chair, Assembly Military and Veterans Affairs Committee

Pursuant to Military and Veterans Code Section 55, this letter constitutes the Military Department Inspector General's quarterly report regarding investigations and assistance cases completed by the Inspector General's office.

This reporting quarter, April 1,2022 through June 30, 2022, the Military Department Inspector General completed one investigation, report enclosed. The Military Department Inspector General completed five assistance cases.

The Office of the Military Department Inspector General has nine open assistance cases and one open investigation.

Please direct any questions or comments concerning this quarterly report to the Military Department Inspector General at (916) 854-3483.

Sincerely,

Saul Rangel Colonel (CA) Military Department Inspector General

Report of the Results of Investigation MDIG-22-004

- 1. PURPOSE AND AUTHORITY: The Adjutant General (TAG) received a memorandum from the Secretary of the Air Force Inspector General's Office (SAF-IG), dated 12 October 2021. The memorandum stated: "We recently completed an Inspector General investigation and discovered two issues with no federal nexus. Since we had no authority to investigate these matters, we are referring them to you ... as a state matter to determine if state policy was violated and resolve as you see fit." On or around 8 December 2021, TAG directed this office to investigate the referred allegations pertaining to a State Active Duty (SAD) Brigadier General (Brig Gen).
- 2. **COMPLAINANT STATUS**: SAF-IG Referral

3. **ALLEGATIONS:**

- a. **ALLEGATION 1:** Determine whether an SAD Brig Gen created a SAD position with the intent to preselect an officer in violation of California Military Veteran Code (CMVC) 142(b) and California Military Department (CMD) Regulation 600-1.
- b. **ALLEGATION 2:** Determine whether an SAD Brig Gen misused government personnel for personal services in violation of Joint Ethics Regulation (JER) 5500-07, 3-303(b) and CMD Regulation 600-1, Chapter 12.

4. SUMMARY AND FINDINGS:

- a. **ALLEGATION 1:** The allegation that an SAD Brig Gen created a SAD position (DCoS-A) with the intent to preselect was **not substantiated**. This office determined that the SAD position was established in accordance with CMD 600-1, the MPAC, and the selection process was in accordance with CMD-Regulation 600-1.
- b. **ALLEGATION 2**: The allegations that an SAD Brig Gen misused government personnel for personal services **was substantiated**. The preponderance of evidence indicates that the Brig Gen had full-time Service Members drive him to various car repair facilities to service his privately owned vehicle.