



MILITARY DEPARTMENT
OFFICE OF THE ADJUTANT GENERAL
9800 Goethe Road
Sacramento, California 95827-3561

April 1, 2020

Office of the Military Department Inspector General

Honorable Toni G. Atkins, President pro Tempore of the Senate

Honorable Anthony Rendon, Speaker of the Assembly

Honorable Bob Archuleta, Chair, Senate Veterans Affairs Committee

Honorable Jacqui Irwin, Chair, Assembly Veterans Affairs Committee

Pursuant to Military and Veterans Code Section 55, this letter constitutes the Military Department Inspector General's quarterly report regarding investigations completed by the Inspector General's office.

This reporting quarter, January 1, 2020 through March 31, 2020, the Military Department Inspector General completed one investigation. Enclosed is the Report of the Results of Investigation. The Military Department Inspector General completed twelve assistance cases.

The Office of the Military Department Inspector General has nine open assistance cases and no open investigations.

Please direct any questions or comments concerning this quarterly report to the Military Department Inspector General at (916) 854-3483.

Sincerely,

Saul Rangel
Colonel (CA)
Military Department Inspector General

Enclosure

Report of the Results of Investigation MDIG-18-022

1. **PURPOSE AND AUTHORITY**: TAG Directive, dated 18 September 2018, to investigate alleged improprieties by State Active Duty (SAD) officials.

2. **COMPLAINANT STATUS**: A previous California Military Department (CMD) SAD Servicemember.

3. **ALLEGATIONS**:

a. The allegations that a MAJ on SAD failed to take appropriate action by not implementing internal controls/review processes to ensure data reported by the program was factual in violation of AR 600-20, Chapter 2-1b and the directorate Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) was **not substantiated**.

b. The allegations that a CPT on SAD failed to take appropriate action by not implementing internal controls/review processes to ensure data reported by the program was factual in violation of AR 600-20, Chapter 2-1b and the directorate SOP was **not substantiated**.

4. **SUMMARY AND FINDINGS**: The preponderance of the evidence indicates that the SAD Supervisors established internal controls to review data reported by the program.